UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,433	11/21/2005	Sang-Kyu Lee	NAMNP0103US	5833
Neil A DuChez	7590 08/25/200	EXAMINER		
	oisselle & Sklar	JOIKE, MICHELE K		
1621 Euclid Avenue 19th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH 44115			1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/534,433	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHELE K. JOIKE	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ju</u>	ne 2009					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	γ <del></del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9 and 14-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 7-9 and 14-18 is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some coll None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	🗖 :					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 17, 2009 has been entered.

Claims 7-9 and 14-18 are pending and examined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al and in view of Zuckerman. New claims 15-18 have been added to this rejection. The limitations in claims 15-18 were previously found in claims 7 and 8, and therefore were addressed in the last office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,433 Page 3

Art Unit: 1636

Response to Arguments Concerning Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103 (a)

Applicant's arguments filed June 17, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The following grounds of traversal are presented:

Lipofectamine is widely used for delivery of naked DNA; however, it cannot deliver naked DNA into suspended cells, for example Tcells. The delivery method of claims 7-9 and 14-18 can deliver naked DNA into any cell type. Comparative FACS analysis demonstrates a clear and unexpected distinction between the prior art and the present invention.

Applicant's arguments have not been found persuasive for the following reasons.

Applicants are not claiming delivery into suspended cells. The claims are drawn to delivery into any host cell, eukaryotic or prokaryotic. While there is a distinction between Lipofectamine-pGFP-GBS cells and Mphi-Gal4-pGFP-GS cells, as shown by the FACS, analysis, the cells were T cells, to which the claims are not limited.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,433 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

## Allowable Subject Matter

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHELE K. JOIKE whose telephone number is (571)272-5915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571)272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michele K. Joike/ Examiner, Art Unit 1636 Michele K. Joike Examiner Art Unit 1636